De-noising on the Body Centered Cubic (BCC) Sampling Lattice

Tai Meng CMPT775 – 2006/Spring

Motivation

Why is BCC superior to Cartesian (CC) in medical imaging?

□ 3D: saves 30% samples

□ 3D time-varying: saves 50% samples

□ Higher dimensions: potentially higher savings

BCC grid seems well-positioned to take over the CC grid in medical imaging

Motivation

- Why is BCC not used in medical imaging?
 Few tools for the BCC grid exist
- Why de-noising on the BCC lattice?
 De-noising is necessary in medical imaging
 De-noising tools for BCC does not exist
 Ideal goal: BCC is no worse than CC in
- de-noising

Abstract

Two types of noise investigated

 Salt & pepper noise: impulse noise
 Gaussian white noise: random noise

 Two filters investigated

 Median filter: salt & pepper noise
 Gaussian smoothing filter: white noise

 Error plots of CC vs BCC de-noising

The BCC Lattice



- Start with canonical CC lattice
- A lattice point belongs to the BCC lattice if and only if all three of its coordinates are even, or if all three are odd

Sampling Equivalence

Theorem

- Consider an unknown 3D signal. On average, to capture the same amount of information via sampling, it takes the BCC lattice roughly 70% of the number of samples that it would take the CC lattice
- In the limit, the exact percentage is 1/sqrt(2)
 ~= 70.7%

Stage 1: Sampler

- Marschner Lobb (ML) dataset
- CC: 64 x 64 x64 samples
- BCC: 45 x 45 x 90 samples
- The number of samples in BCC dataset is roughly 70% of that of CC dataset
- By theorem, they capture roughly the same amount of information from ML

Stage 1: Sampler

- CC/BCC pair: noise free
- CC/BCC pair: salt & pepper noise

Input: probability of noise

- CC/BCC pair: Gaussian noise
 - Input: standard deviation = average difference of noise samples

Salt & Pepper Noise Generation

- Input: probability p
- For each sample, generate y = rand[0..1]
 If 0 <= y < p/2, set sample to 0 (pepper)
 If p/2 <= y <= p, set sample to 254 (salt)
 Else leave sample alone

White Noise Generation

- Method 1: The Central Limit Theorem states that the sum of N random numbers will approach normal distribution as N approaches infinity; N >= 30 works
- Method 2: Rejection sampling; dart throwing till a sample falls under the Gaussian envelope; very slow

White Noise Generation



Stage 1: De-noiser

- Input: filter radius, noise type, grid type
- Noise type, grid type -> choose filter
 Set that filter to the input filter radius
 Apply the filter to the dataset

Stage 1: De-noiser

Four filters to choose from:
 CC median filter
 BCC median filter
 CC Gaussian filter
 BCC Gaussian filter

Salt & Pepper: Low Noise

CC Original	CC Salt & Pepper 3%	Filter size = 1.414214 Neighborhood size = 19	
BCC Original	BCC Salt & Pepper 3%	Filter size $= 1.415730$	
		Ineignbornood size = 15	

Salt & Pepper: Medium Noise

CC Original	CC Salt & Pepper 10%	Filter size = 1.414214	
BCC Original	BCC Salt & Pepper 10%	Filter size = 1.415730 Neighborhood size = 15	

Salt & Pepper: High Noise

CC Original	CC Salt & Pepper 20%	Filter size = 1.414214	
		Neighborhood size $= 19$	
BCC Original	BCC Salt & Pepper 20%	Filter size = 1.415730	
		Neighborhood size $= 15$	

Salt & Pepper: High Noise

CC Original	CC Salt & Pepper 20%	Filter size = 1
		Neighborhood size $= 7$
BCC Original	BCC Salt & Pepper 20%	Filter size = 1.226058
		Neighborhood size $= 9$

White Noise: Low Noise

CC Original	CC Gaussian Sigma = 2	Filter size = 2.236068 Neighborhood size = 57
BCC Original	BCC Gaussian Sigma $= 2$	Filter size = 2.347723
		Neighborhood size $= 51$

White Noise: Medium Noise

CC Original	CC Gaussian Sigma = 7	Filter size = 2.236068 Neighborhood size = 57
BCC Original	BCC Gaussian Sigma = 7	Filter size $= 2.347723$
		1 1101 5120 - 2.5 17725
U U		Neighborhood size = 51

White Noise: High Noise

CC Original	CC Gaussian Sigma = 14 Filter size = 2.236068	
		Neighborhood size $= 57$
BCC Original	BCC Gaussian Sigma = 14	Filter size $= 2.347723$
C	C	Neighborhood size $= 51$

Stage 2: Data Plot

Error metric after de-noise:

- Compute difference between de-noised dataset and noise-free dataset
- \Box Mean ~= 0, so can be ignored
- Use standard deviation as error metric

Stage 2: Data Plot

- One 2D point for each neighbourhood
 Filter radius corresponding to neighbourhood
 Error after filtering with this radius
 Generate 10 points for first 10
 - neighbourhoods

Can already see a convergent behaviour

Larger neighbourhood => slower filtering

Plot: Low Noise



Radius

3.000000

3.162278

3.165669

3.467817

BCC Size

Plot: Medium Noise



Plot: High Noise





Index	CC Radius	CC Size	BCC Radius	BCC Size
1	0.000000	1	0.000000	1
2	1.000000	7	1.226058	9
3	1.414214	19	1.415730	15
4	1.732051	27	2.002145	27
5	2.000000	33	2.347723	51
6	2.236068	57	2.452117	59
7	2.449490	81	2.831461	65
8	2.828427	93	3.085513	89
9	3.000000	123	3.165669	113
10	3.162278	147	3.467817	137

2

Radius

3

4

СС

BCC

Conclusion

- Median filtering
 BCC seems comparable to CC
- Gaussian filtering
 - □ BCC seems better for low noise levels
 - CC seems better for higher noise levels
 - □ Need further investigation

Bonus: Neighborhood Plot

- Investigate the claim that the ratio of BCC over CC neighbourhood sizes converge to roughly 0.7 (i.e. 1/sqrt(2))
- Plotted first 50 BCC/CC ratios

Can see convergent behaviour

 \Box Know that in the limit, ratio = 1/sqrt(2)

Bonus: Neighborhood Plot



4/13/2

References

- Robert Fisher, Simon Perkins, Ashley Walker and Erik Wolfart. <u>Digital Filters</u>. Department of Artificial Intelligence, University of Edinburgh, UK, 2003.
- Complete list:
 - http://www.taimeng.com/grad_school/CMPT7
 75_proj/references.htm

Thank You!

